

REMARKS

Amendments to claim 1 are supported in the present specification at page 33, and by original claim 7. It is submitted that the claims are not anticipated by Yamaguchi, inasmuch as Yamaguchi does not disclose the operation of the light modulation element in the blue phase. Note that claim 7 was not subjected to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102.

With respect to the rejection of claim 7 under 35 U.S.C. 103, it is submitted that, regardless of the secondary reference teaching operation of a given display element in the blue phase, it is not obvious to operate *any* phase in a blue mode. Moreover, it is submitted that even the combination of references fails to suggest the dielectric permittivity of the presently claimed media. Accordingly, the references fail to suggest the present claims, and withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this response or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-3402.

Respectfully submitted,

/Harry B. Shubin/

Harry B. Shubin, Reg. No. 32,004
Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO
& BRANIGAN, P.C.
Arlington Courthouse Plaza 1, Suite 1400
2200 Clarendon Boulevard
Arlington, Virginia 22201
Telephone: (703) 243-6333
Facsimile: (703) 243-6410

Attorney Docket No.: MERCK-3005

Date: February 19, 2009